



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/688,764	10/16/2000	Li Deng	M61.12-0325	1585	
27366	7590 10/28/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MICROSOFT CORPORATION C/O WESTMAN			OPSASNICK,	OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N	
CHAMPLIN	& KELLY, P.A.				
SUITE 1400 - INTERNATIONAL CENTRE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH			2655		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3319			DATE MAILED: 10/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

	PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
				_
		EXAMINER		
		ART UNIT	PAPER	
			20051023	
•				ART UNIT PAPER

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

- 1. The information disclosure statement filed May 5, 2005 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because the filing date is after the mailing date of the notice of allowance (5/4/2005). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).
- 2. The information disclosure statement filed 5/5/2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.
- 3. The information disclosure statement filed 5/5/2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Ex. Mike Opsasnick 10/23/05

WI R. YOUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER